

Global Container Operator Capacity Ranking

Ranking	Operator	Type of Operation	Million TEUs
1	China Cosco Shipping	Shipping company	104.4
2	PSA International	Terminal operator	86.6
3	APM Terminals	Terminal operator	83.7
4	Hutchison Ports	Terminal operator	80.6
5	DP World	Terminal operator	69.5
6	Terminal Investment Limited (TIL)	Terminal operator	55.3
7	China Merchants Ports	Terminal operator	34.3
8	CMA CGM	Shipping company	25.9
9	SSA Marine	Terminal operator	12.2
10	ICTSI	Terminal operator	11.8
11	Eurogate	Terminal operator	10.4
12	Evergreen	Shipping company	9.7
13	Hyundai	Shipping company	9.5
14	Mitsui O.S.K. Line	Shipping company	8.4
15	NYK	Shipping company	7.8

Source: Drewry Global Container Terminal Operators 2021/2022, Drewry Maritime Research.

- Notes:
1. Figures exclude total annual throughput for all terminals in which the shareholdings are 10% and less.
 2. We have deducted volume handled in stevedoring and barge operations.
 3. Due to the method of calculation utilized, there is some degree of variation between Drewry's figures and the terminal operators' publicly announced results.
 4. Some figures include Drewry forecasts.
 5. Type of Operation is based on Drewry's information.
 6. China Cosco Shipping includes the figure of OOCL's operation.
 7. CMA CGM includes the figure of CMA Terminals and Terminal Link's operation.
 8. Hutchison includes the figure of HPH Trust's operation.
 9. TIL does not include volume handled by MSC and its subsidiaries.

NYK's TEUs and Number of Container Terminals (Terminal basis)

Terminal Operations

	(CY)	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
Million TEUs		12.0	16.0	13.6	12.3	11.5	12.4
No. of terminals		16	15	13	13	13	12

Note: The number of terminals refers to individual terminals in operation

Terminal Locations

- Container terminal and stevedoring operations : 14 locations
- RORO ship stevedoring operations: 6 locations

